SSA 505

External Confirmations

This SSA 505 superseded SSA 505 "External Confirmations" in September 2009.

SSA 315 (Revised 2021), Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement gives rise to conforming amendments in SSA 200 in July 2021. These amendments are effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after 15 December 2021.

SINGAPORE STANDARD ON AUDITING 505 EXTERNAL CONFIRMATIONS

(Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after 15 December 2021)

CONTENTS

Paragraph

Foreword	
Introduction	
Scope of this SSA	1
External Confirmation Procedures to Obtain Audit Evidence	2-3
Effective Date	4
Objective	5
Definitions	6
Requirements	
External Confirmation Procedures	7
Managements Refusal to Allow the Auditor to Send a Confirmation Request	8-9
Results of the External Confirmation Procedures	10-14
Negative Confirmations	15
Evaluating the Evidence Obtained	16
Application and Other Explanatory Material	
External Confirmation Procedures	A1-A7
Managements Refusal to Allow the Auditor to Send a Confirmation Request	A8-A10
Results of the External Confirmation Procedures	A11-A22
Negative Confirmations	A23
Evaluating the Evidence Obtained	A24-A25

Singapore Standard on Auditing (SSA) 505, "External Confirmations" should be read in conjunction with SSA 200, "Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with Singapore Standards on Auditing."

SINGAPORE **STANDARD ON AUDITING**

Foreword

This Standard is based on International Standard on Auditing 505.

Introduction

Scope of this SSA

This Singapore Standard on Auditing (SSA) deals with the auditor's use of external 1. confirmation procedures to obtain audit evidence in accordance with the requirements of SSA 330¹ and SSA 500² It does not address inquiries regarding litigation and claims, which are dealt with in SSA 501.3

External Confirmation Procedures to Obtain Audit Evidence

- 2. SSA 500 indicates that the reliability of audit evidence is influenced by its source and by its nature, and is dependent on the individual circumstances under which it is obtained.⁴ That SSA also includes the following generalizations applicable to audit evidence:5
 - Audit evidence is more reliable when it is obtained from independent sources outside the entity.
 - Audit evidence obtained directly by the auditor is more reliable than audit evidence obtained indirectly or by inference.
 - Audit evidence is more reliable when it exists in documentary form, whether paper, • electronic or other medium.

Accordingly, depending on the circumstances of the audit, audit evidence in the form of external confirmations received directly by the auditor from confirming parties may be more reliable than evidence generated internally by the entity. This SSA is intended to assist the auditor in designing and performing external confirmation procedures to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence.

- 3 Other SSAs recognize the importance of external confirmations as audit evidence, for example:
 - SSA 330 discusses the auditor's responsibility to design and implement overall responses to address the assessed risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level, and to design and perform further audit procedures whose nature, timing and extent are based on, and are responsive to, the assessed risks of material misstatement at the assertion level.⁶ In addition, SSA 330 requires that, irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, the auditor designs and performs substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance, and

¹ SSA 330, "The Auditor's Responses to Assessed Risks." ² SSA 500, "Audit Evidence."

³ SSA 501, "Audit Evidence—Specific Considerations for Selected Items."

⁴ SSA 500, paragraph A5.

⁵ SSA 500, paragraph A31.

⁶ SSA 330, paragraphs 5-6.

disclosure. The auditor is also required to consider whether external confirmation procedures are to be performed as substantive audit procedures.⁷

- SSA 330 requires that the auditor obtain more persuasive audit evidence the higher the auditor's assessment of risk.⁸ To do this, the auditor may increase the quantity of the evidence or obtain evidence that is more relevant or reliable, or both. For example, the auditor may place more emphasis on obtaining evidence directly from third parties or obtaining corroborating evidence from a number of independent sources. SSA 330 also indicates that external confirmation procedures may assist the auditor in obtaining audit evidence with the high level of reliability that the auditor requires to respond to significant risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.⁹
- SSA 240 indicates that the auditor may design confirmation requests to obtain additional corroborative information as a response to address the assessed risks of material misstatement due to fraud at the assertion level.¹⁰
- SSA 500 indicates that corroborating information obtained from a source independent of the entity, such as external confirmations, may increase the assurance the auditor obtains from evidence existing within the accounting records or from representations made by management.¹¹

Effective Date

4. This SSA is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after 15 December 2021.

Objective

5. The objective of the auditor, when using external confirmation procedures, is to design and perform such procedures to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence.

Definitions

- 6. For purposes of the SSAs, the following terms have the meanings attributed below:
 - (a) External confirmation Audit evidence obtained as a direct written response to the auditor from a third party (the confirming party), in paper form, or by electronic or other medium.
 - (b) Positive confirmation request A request that the confirming party respond directly to the auditor indicating whether the confirming party agrees or disagrees with the information in the request, or providing the requested information.
 - (c) Negative confirmation request A request that the confirming party respond directly to the auditor only if the confirming party disagrees with the information provided in the request.
 - (d) Non-response A failure of the confirming party to respond, or fully respond, to a positive confirmation request, or a confirmation request returned undelivered.

⁷ SSA 330, paragraphs 18-19.

⁸ SSA 330, paragraph 7(b).

⁹ SSA 330, paragraph A53.

¹⁰ SSA 240, "The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements," paragraph A37.

¹¹ SSA 500, paragraphs A8-A9.

(e) Exception – A response that indicates a difference between information requested to be confirmed, or contained in the entity's records, and information provided by the confirming party.

Requirements

External Confirmation Procedures

- 7. When using external confirmation procedures, the auditor shall maintain control over external confirmation requests, including:
 - (a) Determining the information to be confirmed or requested; (Ref: Para. A1)
 - (b) Selecting the appropriate confirming party; (Ref: Para. A2)
 - (c) Designing the confirmation requests, including determining that requests are properly addressed and contain return information for responses to be sent directly to the auditor; and (Ref: Para. A3-A6)
 - (d) Sending the requests, including follow-up requests when applicable, to the confirming party. (Ref: Para. A7)

Managements Refusal to Allow the Auditor to Send a Confirmation Request

- 8. If management refuses to allow the auditor to send a confirmation request, the auditor shall:
 - (a) Inquire as to management's reasons for the refusal, and seek audit evidence as to their validity and reasonableness; (Ref: Para. A8)
 - (b) Evaluate the implications of management's refusal on the auditor's assessment of the relevant risks of material misstatement, including the risk of fraud, and on the nature, timing and extent of other audit procedures; and (Ref: Para. A9)
 - (c) Perform alternative audit procedures designed to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence. (Ref: Para. A10)
- 9. If the auditor concludes that management's refusal to allow the auditor to send a confirmation request is unreasonable, or the auditor is unable to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from alternative audit procedures, the auditor shall communicate with those charged with governance in accordance with SSA 260¹² The auditor also shall determine the implications for the audit and the auditor's opinion in accordance with SSA 705.¹³

Results of the External Confirmation Procedures

Reliability of Responses to Confirmation Requests

- 10. If the auditor identifies factors that give rise to doubts about the reliability of the response to a confirmation request, the auditor shall obtain further audit evidence to resolve those doubts. (Ref: Para. A11-A16)
- 11. If the auditor determines that a response to a confirmation request is not reliable, the auditor shall evaluate the implications on the assessment of the relevant risks of material misstatement, including the risk of fraud, and on the related nature, timing and extent of other audit procedures. (Ref: Para. A17)

¹² SSA 260, "Communication with Those Charged with Governance," paragraph 16.

¹³ SSA 705, "Modifications to the Opinion in the Independent Auditor's Report."

Non-Responses

12. In the case of each non-response, the auditor shall perform alternative audit procedures to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence. (Ref: Para A18-A19)

When a Response to a Positive Confirmation Request Is Necessary to Obtain Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence

13. If the auditor has determined that a response to a positive confirmation request is necessary to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence, alternative audit procedures will not provide the audit evidence the auditor requires. If the auditor does not obtain such confirmation, the auditor shall determine the implications for the audit and the auditors opinion in accordance with SSA 705. (Ref: Para A20)

Exceptions

14. The auditor shall investigate exceptions to determine whether or not they are indicative of misstatements. (Ref: Para. A21-A22)

Negative Confirmations

- 15. Negative confirmations provide less persuasive audit evidence than positive confirmations. Accordingly, the auditor shall not use negative confirmation requests as the sole substantive audit procedure to address an assessed risk of material misstatement at the assertion level unless all of the following are present: (Ref: Para. A23)
 - (a) The auditor has assessed the risk of material misstatement as low and has obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the operating effectiveness of controls relevant to the assertion;
 - (b) The population of items subject to negative confirmation procedures comprises a large number of small, homogeneous, account balances, transactions or conditions;
 - (c) A very low exception rate is expected; and
 - (d) The auditor is not aware of circumstances or conditions that would cause recipients of negative confirmation requests to disregard such requests.

Evaluating the Evidence Obtained

16. The auditor shall evaluate whether the results of the external confirmation procedures provide relevant and reliable audit evidence, or whether further audit evidence is necessary. (Ref: Para A24-A25)

Application and Other Explanatory Material

External Confirmation Procedures

Determining the Information to Be Confirmed or Requested (Ref: Para. 7(a))

A1. External confirmation procedures frequently are performed to confirm or request information regarding account balances and their elements. They may also be used to confirm terms of agreements, contracts, or transactions between an entity and other parties, or to confirm the absence of certain conditions, such as a "side agreement."

Selecting the Appropriate Confirming Party (Ref: Para. 7(b))

A2. Responses to confirmation requests provide more relevant and reliable audit evidence when confirmation requests are sent to a confirming party the auditor believes is knowledgeable about the information to be confirmed. For example, a financial institution official who is knowledgeable about the transactions or arrangements for which confirmation is requested may be the most appropriate person at the financial institution from whom to request confirmation.

Designing Confirmation Requests (Ref: Para. 7(c))

- A3. The design of a confirmation request may directly affect the confirmation response rate, and the reliability and the nature of the audit evidence obtained from responses.
- A4. Factors to consider when designing confirmation requests include:
 - The assertions being addressed.
 - Specific identified risks of material misstatement, including fraud risks.
 - The layout and presentation of the confirmation request.
 - Prior experience on the audit or similar engagements.
 - The method of communication (for example, in paper form, or by electronic or other medium).
 - Management's authorization or encouragement to the confirming parties to respond to the auditor. Confirming parties may only be willing to respond to a confirmation request containing management's authorization.
 - The ability of the intended confirming party to confirm or provide the requested information (for example, individual invoice amount versus total balance).
- A5. A positive external confirmation request asks the confirming party to reply to the auditor in all cases, either by indicating the confirming party's agreement with the given information, or by asking the confirming party to provide information. A response to a positive confirmation request ordinarily is expected to provide reliable audit evidence. There is a risk, however, that a confirming party may reply to the confirmation request without verifying that the information is correct. The auditor may reduce this risk by using positive confirmation requests that do not state the amount (or other information) on the confirmation request, and ask the confirming party to fill in the amount or furnish other information. On the other hand, use of this type of "blank" confirmation request may result in lower response rates because additional effort is required of the confirming parties.

A6. Determining that requests are properly addressed includes testing the validity of some or all of the addresses on confirmation requests before they are sent out.

Follow-Up on Confirmation Requests (Ref: Para. 7(d))

A7. The auditor may send an additional confirmation request when a reply to a previous request has not been received within a reasonable time. For example, the auditor may, having re-verified the accuracy of the original address, send an additional or follow-up request.

Management's Refusal to Allow the Auditor to Send a Confirmation Request

Reasonableness of Management's Refusal (Ref: Para. 8(a))

A8. A refusal by management to allow the auditor to send a confirmation request is a limitation on the audit evidence the auditor may wish to obtain. The auditor is therefore required to inquire as to the reasons for the limitation. A common reason advanced is the existence of a legal dispute or ongoing negotiation with the intended confirming party, the resolution of which may be affected by an untimely confirmation request. The auditor is required to seek audit evidence as to the validity and reasonableness of the reasons because of the risk that management may be attempting to deny the auditor access to audit evidence that may reveal fraud or error.

Implications for the Assessment of Risks of Material Misstatement (Ref: Para. 8(b))

A9. The auditor may conclude from the evaluation in paragraph 8(b) that it would be appropriate to revise the assessment of the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level and modify planned audit procedures in accordance with SSA 315 (Revised 2021).¹⁴ For example, if management's request to not confirm is unreasonable, this may indicate a fraud risk factor that requires evaluation in accordance with SSA 240.¹⁵

Alternative Audit Procedures (Ref: Para. 8(c))

A10. The alternative audit procedures performed may be similar to those appropriate for a nonresponse as set out in paragraphs A18-A19 of this SSA. Such procedures also would take account of the results of the auditor's evaluation in paragraph 8(b) of this SSA.

Results of the External Confirmation Procedures

Reliability of Responses to Confirmation Requests (Ref: Para. 10)

- A11. SSA 500 indicates that even when audit evidence is obtained from sources external to the entity, circumstances may exist that affect its reliability.¹⁶ All responses carry some risk of interception, alteration or fraud. Such risk exists regardless of whether a response is obtained in paper form, or by electronic or other medium. Factors that may indicate doubts about the reliability of a response include that it:
 - Was received by the auditor indirectly; or
 - Appeared not to come from the originally intended confirming party.
- A12. Responses received electronically, for example by facsimile or electronic mail, involve risks as to reliability because proof of origin and authority of the respondent may be difficult to establish, and alterations may be difficult to detect. A process used by the auditor and the respondent that creates a secure environment for responses received electronically may mitigate these risks. If

¹⁴ SSA 315 (Revised 2021), "Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement," paragraph 37.

¹⁵ SSA 240, paragraph 24.

¹⁶ SSA 500, paragraph A31.

the auditor is satisfied that such a process is secure and properly controlled, the reliability of the related responses is enhanced. An electronic confirmation process might incorporate various techniques for validating the identity of a sender of information in electronic form, for example, through the use of encryption, electronic digital signatures, and procedures to verify web site authenticity.

- A13. If a confirming party uses a third party to coordinate and provide responses to confirmation requests, the auditor may perform procedures to address the risks that:
 - (a) The response may not be from the proper source;
 - (b) A respondent may not be authorized to respond; and
 - (c) The integrity of the transmission may have been compromised.
- A14. The auditor is required by SSA 500 to determine whether to modify or add procedures to resolve doubts over the reliability of information to be used as audit evidence.¹⁷ The auditor may choose to verify the source and contents of a response to a confirmation request by contacting the confirming party. For example, when a confirming party responds by electronic mail, the auditor may telephone the confirming party to determine whether the confirming party did, in fact, send the response. When a response has been returned to the auditor indirectly (for example, because the confirming party incorrectly addressed it to the entity rather than to the auditor), the auditor may request the confirming party to respond in writing directly to the auditor.
- A15. On its own, an oral response to a confirmation request does not meet the definition of an external confirmation because it is not a direct written response to the auditor. However, upon obtaining an oral response to a confirmation request, the auditor may, depending on the circumstances, request the confirming party to respond in writing directly to the auditor. If no such response is received, in accordance with paragraph 12, the auditor seeks other audit evidence to support the information in the oral response.
- A16. A response to a confirmation request may contain restrictive language regarding its use. Such restrictions do not necessarily invalidate the reliability of the response as audit evidence.

Unreliable Responses (Ref: Para. 11)

A17. When the auditor concludes that a response is unreliable, the auditor may need to revise the assessment of the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level and modify planned audit procedures accordingly, in accordance with SSA 315 (Revised 2021).¹⁸ For example, an unreliable response may indicate a fraud risk factor that requires evaluation in accordance with SSA 240.¹⁹

Non-Responses (Ref: Para. 12)

- A18. Examples of alternative audit procedures the auditor may perform include:
 - For accounts receivable balances examining specific subsequent cash receipts, shipping documentation, and sales near the period-end.
 - For accounts payable balances examining subsequent cash disbursements or correspondence from third parties, and other records, such as goods received notes.
- A19. The nature and extent of alternative audit procedures are affected by the account and assertion in question. A non-response to a confirmation request may indicate a previously unidentified risk of material misstatement. In such situations, the auditor may need to revise the assessed risk of

¹⁷ SSA 500, paragraph 11.

¹⁸ SSA 315 (Revised 2021), paragraph 37.

¹⁹ SSA 240, paragraph 24.

material misstatement at the assertion level, and modify planned audit procedures, in accordance with SSA 315 (Revised 2021).²⁰ For example, fewer responses to confirmation requests than anticipated, or a greater number of responses than anticipated, may indicate a previously unidentified fraud risk factor that requires evaluation in accordance with SSA 240.²¹

When a Response to a Positive Confirmation Request Is Necessary to Obtain Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence (Ref. Para. 13)

- A20. In certain circumstances, the auditor may identify an assessed risk of material misstatement at the assertion level for which a response to a positive confirmation request is necessary to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence. Such circumstances may include where:
 - The information available to corroborate management's assertion(s) is only available outside the entity.
 - Specific fraud risk factors, such as the risk of management override of controls, or the risk of collusion which can involve employee(s) and/or management, prevent the auditor from relying on evidence from the entity.

Exceptions (Ref: Para. 14)

- A21. Exceptions noted in responses to confirmation requests may indicate misstatements or potential misstatements in the financial statements. When a misstatement is identified, the auditor is required by SSA 240 to evaluate whether such misstatement is indicative of fraud.²² Exceptions may provide a guide to the quality of responses from similar confirming parties or for similar accounts. Exceptions also may indicate a deficiency, or deficiencies, in the entity's internal control over financial reporting.
- A22. Some exceptions do not represent misstatements. For example, the auditor may conclude that differences in responses to confirmation requests are due to timing, measurement, or clerical errors in the external confirmation procedures.

Negative Confirmations (Ref: Para. 15)

A23. The failure to receive a response to a negative confirmation request does not explicitly indicate receipt by the intended confirming party of the confirmation request or verification of the accuracy of the information contained in the request. Accordingly, a failure of a confirming party to respond to a negative confirmation request provides significantly less persuasive audit evidence than does a response to a positive confirmation request. Confirming parties also may be more likely to respond indicating their disagreement with a confirmation request when the information in the request is not in their favor, and less likely to respond otherwise. For example, holders of bank deposit accounts may be more likely to respond if they believe that the balance in their account is understated in the confirmation request, but may be less likely to respond when they believe the balance is overstated. Therefore, sending negative confirmation requests to holders of bank deposit accounts may be a useful procedure in considering whether such balances may be understated, but is unlikely to be effective if the auditor is seeking evidence regarding overstatement.

Evaluating the Evidence Obtained (Ref: Para. 16)

A24. When evaluating the results of individual external confirmation requests, the auditor may categorize such results as follows:

²⁰ SSA 315 (Revised 2021), paragraph 37.

²¹ SSA 240, paragraph 24.

²² SSA 240, paragraph 35.

- (a) A response by the appropriate confirming party indicating agreement with the information provided in the confirmation request, or providing requested information without exception;
- (b) A response deemed unreliable;
- (c) A non-response; or
- (d) A response indicating an exception.
- A25. The auditor's evaluation, when taken into account with other audit procedures the auditor may have performed, may assist the auditor in concluding whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained or whether further audit evidence is necessary, as required by SSA 330.²³

 $^{^{\}rm 23}$ SSA 330, paragraphs 26 and 27